

# OUNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/858,2	218 05/10/9	7 CHOY	-	E	
JOSEPH CHUNG FOXCONN INTERNATIONAL INC		MM11/0518	٦	EXAMINER STANDIG, B	
930 W MAUDE AVE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	E CA 94086			2833	نے
				DATE MAIL ED:	05/18/ <del>9</del> 8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Application No.

Applicant(s) 08/858,218

Choy et al.

## Office Action Summary

Examiner

**Barry Standig** 

Group Art Unit 2833



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to s longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗀 approved 🗀 disapproved.
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	the priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numl	
received in this national stage application from the li	nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	,
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TH	4E FOLLOWING PAGES

Art Unit: 2833

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

1. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

2. Figures 1 and 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).

#### Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: at page 2, line 24, "CUP" should read --CPU--, at page 7, line 22, after "26." delete "The" and insert --Referring to figures 5A and 5B, as shown, the--. At page 16, line 2, "CUP" should read --CPU--. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Objections

4. Claims 11 and 13 are objected to because of the following informalities: At claim 11, line 5, "supper" should read --upper--; at claim 13, line 7, "fist" should read --first--. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 3 Serial Number: 08/858,218

Art Unit: 2833

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for 6. failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1

At claim 1, the phrase: "oppositely aligned with each other in a front-to-end direction in a head-

to-head state." is vague and confusing. It is suggested that applicant delete the word,

"respectively" and insert --comprising-- to clearly delineate the preamble from the body of the

claim.

Claim 2

At claim 2, the phrase, "a normal manner" is vague and confusing.

Claim 3 and Claim 4

At claim 3, line 3 and at claim 4, line 2, after "one" insert --contact-- to more clearly define

invention. At claim 4, line 3, after "other" insert --contact--.

Claim 8

At claim 8, line 1, after "type" insert --compared--, at line 12, the phrase, "a normal manner" is

vague and confusing. At lines 8-10, the phrase "when said key is on an opposite side with regard

to a center line of the main body in comparison with another key of the standard connector" is

vague and confusing. Perhaps, instead of "key", --key opening-- might be more descriptive.

Art Unit: 2833

**Claims 10-15** 

In claims 10-15 where applicant has used the symbol "/" it is requested that this be changed to the

word --or--. At claim 13, line 3, the phrase, "a normal installation condition" is vague and

confusing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or

on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 1-6 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Muzslay(4,618,196).

Claim 1

Muzslay shows a first connector 136 with contacts 133 mated to a second connector 134 with

contacts 132 aligned in a head to head state (see figure 6). Female socket 132 corresponds to

female socket 133, so the plugs that are inserted are oppositely aligned with each other.

Claim 2

The first module could be a plug and as explained above, in order for the contacts to align, one

plug will be inserted into the female receptacle in a right-side-up manner and the other plug or

module will be inserted into the other female receptacle in an upside-down manner.

Art Unit: 2833

#### Claim 3

Plated through holes 142, 144 provide traces that contact the contacts 132 and 133 (see column 5, lines 9-12).

#### Claim 4

Figure 6 clearly shows one contact 132 in an upper passageway of one connector and the other contact 133 in a lower passageway of the other connector

#### Claim 5

Figure 6 shows the plated through holes 142 and 144 as being parallel to each other and extend in the front-to-end direction.

#### Claim 6

The first connector includes a first key which is opposite to a second key of the second connector in said front-to-end direction (see column 5, lines 14-26).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 7 as best understood is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muzslay (4,618,196). The feature of an elongated recess along a lower portion of the second main body of the second connector is a broadly defined feature and applicant has not

Art Unit: 2833

demonstrated criticality of the recess or included the function of the recess which would be needed in order to overcome the reference.

- 11. Claims 8 and 9 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheng et al.(5,514,002) in view of Coteus et al. (5,688,147). Cheng shows an electrical connector assembly and contacts having a housing 10 including a main body defining upper row passageways 14 and lower row passageways 16 for receiving a plurality of upper contacts 60 and lower contacts 80 therein, said housing defining a central slot 12 for receiving a module therein. Cheng does not show the key. Coteus shows a key opening 10 positioned in the central slot 14 that is movable between several positions and locations (see figures 7-9). It would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use a key/key opening as taught by Coteus in the Cheng connector assembly because this would allow for a large variation in the size of the cards or modules that can be connected within the assembly (see column 1, lines 49-55).
- 12. Claims 10-17 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheng et al.(5,514,002) in view of Coteus et al. (5,688,147) and Dodds(4,295,695). Dodds shows an edge connector assembly for interconnecting two printed circuit boards using flexible film with contact areas 12 wherein the concept of maintaining one-to-one correspondence on opposite sides of a board via circuit traces for the contact areas 12 (see figure 2). It would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use the contact area arrangement of Dodds in the Cheng/Coteus device as this would provide for greater connectability (see column 1, lines 60-63). Applicant is requested to revise

Serial Number: 08/858,218

Art Unit: 2833

the claims so that the pad location on the mother board and their disclosed manner of use would

define over the cited references.

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Mr. Barry Standig whose telephone number is (703) 308-1727. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 to 6:00. The examiner can

also be reached on alternate Fridays. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are

unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Bradley, can be reached on (703) 308-2319. The

fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating

to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose

telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Barry M. L. Standig/bmls

May 11, 1998

P. AUSTIN BRADLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 7